A. A. A. Shehab, H. Kh. Al-Lamy, and A. M. M. Ali

Dependence of the Hall Mobility and Carrier Concentration on Thickness and Annealing Temperature of a-As₂Te₃ Thin Films A. A. A. Shehab* H. Kh. Al-Lamy** A. M. M. Ali * *Department of Physics, College of Education, University of Baghdad **Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Baghdad

Abstract:

Hall effect measurements have been made on a- As_2Te_3 thin films for different thickness film in the range (200-350) nm. The Hall mobility in a- As_2Te_3 thin films decreases with increasing annealing temperature but the carrier concentration increases. When increasing the film thickness increases the Hall mobility decreases, while the carrier concentration increases.

الخلاصة:

تم إجراء قياسات تأثير هول لأغشية u-AszTea العسوانية في مختلف درجات حرارة التلاين بعدى K (303-473) واسماك مختلفة بعدى nm (350-2000). لوحظ تناقص تحركية هول مع زيادة درجة حرارة التلدن ولوحظ زيادة في حاملات الشحنة مع زيادة درجة حرارة التلاين. كذلك وجد تناقص تحركية هول للأغشية مع زيادة السمك بينما تزداد حاملات الشحنة مع السمك.

Introduction:

The Hall effect in amorphous semiconductors exhibits remarkable difference from that in crystalline materials. Not only is the sign of Hall coefficient (R_H) almost opposite to that of the thermoelectric power, but its magnitude is approximately 100 times smaller than might be expected from the carrier concentration (n_H) determined by other means[1]. The phenomena of Hall effect was discovered in (1879)[1], its standard tool to investigate the type of charge carriers and carrier concentration (n_H). The Hall mobility (μ_H) is basically given [4,5]

$$\mu_{\rm H} = \sigma R_{\rm H} \tag{1}$$

Where: σ is the dc conductivity

The Hall coefficient is given by:

$$R_{H} = \frac{V_H}{I} \cdot \frac{t}{B_0}$$
(2)

Where: V_{II} is Hall voltage, t is the film thickness, and Bo is the magnetic field. Also the concentration of carriers of charge is given [1] by:

$$n_{II} = \frac{1}{R_{II}q} \tag{3}$$

Where: q = -e for electrons, q = +e for holes.

Much experimental and theoretical work exists concerning the electrical properties especially Hall effect measurement on chalcogenide glasses containing group VI for example $\Lambda s_2 Te_3[2-4]$.

Mytilineou and Roilos (1987)[5], reported that Hall mobility has higher values for stoichiometric composition, As_2Te_3 than for intermediate composition, they also showed that the Hall effect experimental data on chalcogenide glasses give values for Hall mobility at room temperature between 0.02 and 0.12 cm²/V. sec.

Grant et al [3], showed that the sign of the Hall voltage in $As_x Te_{1-x}$ film was negative with Hall mobility 0.07 cm²/V, see at room temperature.

Weiser and Brodsky (1972) [6] found the average of Hall mobility in the range (0.3 - 5) cm²/V, see for As₂Te₃ films prepared by electron – beam heating.

Seager et al (1973) [2] found the value of Hall mobility for bulk As_2Tc_3 is equal to 0.05 cm²/V, sec.

The above reviewed studies on $a-As_2Tc_3$ semiconductors films lack reproducibility of the Hall effect measurements as a function of thickness and annealing temperature. In order to obtain more detailed picture of the relationship between Hall mobility and thickness and annealing temperature in a- As_2Te_3 , thin films. Hal effect measurement within thickness range (200 – 350)nm and annealing temperature within the range (303 – 473)K are reported.

Experimental Details:

As₂Te₃ alloys were made by heating and mixing the appropriate constituents in an evacuated quartz tube at 800 K for 4 hours. After heating for 4 hours the tube was taken from furnace and quenched into a cool water. $a-As_2Te_3$ thin films prepared by thermal evaporation with thickness about (200 - 350) nm by using coating unit (BAE 370).

The shape of a-As₂Te₃ sample film is square, four Al electrode were positional at its side. When the magnetic field ($B_0 = 0.257$ Tesla) is applied perpendicular to the electric field it yields a current (1), then the transverse e.m.f. which is called Hall voltage (V_H) is set up across the sample, so that the Hall coefficient is given by eq.(2) and the carrier are connected to the Hall coefficient according to relation(3). Hall experiment may also imply the Hall mobility ($\mu_{\rm H}$) according to relation (1).

Results and Discussion:

The value of μ_{II} for a-As₂Te₃ thin films were in the range (0.003 – 0.392) cm²/V, see, it is a good agreement with [3] and [5]. It is clear from Fig.(1) that μ_{II} decrease with increasing annealing temperature . Also from Fig.(2) the values of (n_{II}) increased with increasing (T_a). We showed point out that the Hall carrier concentration increased with increasing T_a means the increase in carrier energy at Fermi level. Such results are in agreement with refs]4,8]. Fig.(3) shows the variation of μ_{II} with increasing thickness at different annealing temperature . It is observed that μ_{II} decreases with increasing thickness. Our

interpretation is that the increasing thickness caused to reduce the trapping centers of charge carriers need lower energy to transit Fermi level to conduction hand which lead to increase the charge carrier density while the carriers concentration increases with increasing thickness as shown in Fig. (4), From this Fig. We can see the value of un decreases from 0.392 cm²/V.sec for film thickness 200 nm to 0.042 cm²/V.sec for film thickness 350 nm for as-deposited film. While the value of n₁₁ decreases from 0.087 cm^2/V sec to 3.4 x 10-3 cm^2/V sec for same thickness at $T_a = 473$ K. This variation may be associated with ionized impurity scattering becomes less effective for higher energy electrons.

Conclusion:

Hall effect measurements have been investigated. Hall mobility and carrier concentration were found to be more sensitive to thickness and annealing temperature. The Hall mobility decreases with increasing T_a and thickness while carrier concentration increases with increasing T_a and thickness.



Fig.(1): Hall mobility Vs annealing temperature T_a of a-As₂Te₃ films.

A. A. A. Shchab, H. Kh. Al-Lamy, and A. M. M. Ali



Fig.(2): Hall carrier concentration Vs T_a of $a-As_2Te_3$ films.



Fig.(3): Hall mobility Vs annealing temperature T_a of a-As₂Te₃ films.



Fig.(2): Hall carrier concentration Vs T_a of a-As₂Te₃ films.

References:

- [1] R. A. Smith, "Semiconductors", (1987), 3.
- [2] C. H. Seager, David Emin, and K. R. Quinn, "Electrical Transport and Structural Properties of Bulk As-Te-I, As-Te-Ge, and As-Te Chalcogenide Glasses" , Phys. Rev. B8, (1973), 4746.
- [3] A. J. Grant, T. D. Moustakas, T. Penney and K. Weiser, In Proc. 5th Int. Conf., "Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors" , ed. By J. Stuke, W. Brenig (Taylor and Francis, London), (1973), 325.
- [4] J. Millman and C. Halkias, "Integrated Electronics", Tokyo, ed. by J. Millmand and C. Halkias, (1972), 31.
- [5] E. Mytilineou and M. Roilos, "Dependence of the Hall Mobility on Composition in As₂(S, Se, Te)₃ Glasses", Phil. Mag. B37, (1978), 387-396.
- [6] K. Weiser and M. H. Brodsky, "d.c Conductivity, Optical Absorption, and Photoconductivity of Amorphous Arsenic Telluride Films", Phys. Rev.B1, (1972), 792.
- [7] B. H. Baglely, "Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors" Plenum Press, London and New York, ed. By Taue, (1974), 258.